SCHOLEDGE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF BUSINESS POLICY & GOVERNANCE
VOL. 2, ISSUE 5 (MAY 2015) ISSN-2394-3351
www.scholedge.org; www.journal.scholedge.org; www.library.scholedge.org

IMPACT OF TRANSFER PRICING DECISIONS ON THE GENERAL CORPORATE RESTRUCTURING DRIVES

Lokesh Gemawat
PhD Candidate- Business Management
Punjab Technical University
Jalandhar, INDIA.

ABSTRACT

A business restructuring might involve cross-border transfers of one thing important, e.g. of valuable intangibles, though this is often not continuously the case. It's going to additionally or as an alternative involve the termination or substantial renegotiation of existing arrangements, e.g. producing arrangements, distribution arrangements, licenses, service agreements, etc. Under Article nine of the OECD Model Tax Convention, wherever the conditions created or obligatory in a very transfer of functions, assets and/or risks, and/or within the termination or renegotiation of a written agreement relationship between 2 associated enterprises placed in 2 disagreement countries differ from people who would be created or obligatory between freelance enterprises, then any profits which might, except for those conditions, have accumulated to at least one of the enterprises, but, by reason of these conditions, haven't therefore accrued, could also be enclosed within the profits of that enterprise and taxed consequently.

KEYWORDS:- Transfer Pricing, Cross border transaction, International Trade, International Business

TRANSFER PRICING SYSTEM- COGNITIVE APPROACH AND ADOPTION

Introduction and operation of a good system of transfer valuation in a company is entangled with a minimum of 3 major facet of company policy of the organization. They are:

(1) Divisional autonomy,
(2) Transfer valuation, and
(3) Performance analysis.

The first 2 aspects are specific ingredients of general space of company management. In most of the large divisionalized organizations the divisional manager's freedom of action isn't absolute.

Divisional managers are to form periodic reports to the company workplace. the company policy on this might include: -

• The extent of details in these reports,
• The responsibility of selections and actions,
• The frequency of overruling of the divisional managers call s, and so on.

The corporate workplace closely controls those aspects that have an effect on the operations of alternative divisions.

This includes quantities of output transferred among the divisions and additionally the costs at that the transfers occur (the transfer price).

TRANSFER WORTH POLICIES:

Transfer worth policies check with the choice of policies/methods that govern the calculations of such costs beneath numerous circumstances. The priority of transfer worth policies with developing
a transfer system that allows:
I. A live of performance to mirror the employment of resources by a division, and
II. The optimum allocation of the organization resources.

WAYS OF TRANSFER COSTS

Transfer valuation ways are broadly speaking classified into the 3 classes –
• Cost-based costs
• Market-based costs, and
• Negotiated costs.

(1) COST-BASED WAYS

Cost worth: in keeping with this transfer worth is adequate to the price. This implies the products or services transferred are going to be priced on the idea of the marketing division's cost of production. The cost could be either actual value of production or, wherever offered, normal value of production.
The advantage of this methodology is simplicity and convenience as a result of all the specified info is available within the accountancy records. However it's inappropriate for profit centre analysis. The explanation is that the values supported cost would distort the profit figures. For the marketing division, the profit figures would underestimate, because it won't earn any profit on goods/services sold to the sister divisions. The profit for the business department would be inflated to the extent the price of purchases of goods would be: as a result of there's no part of profit for the marketing divisions. If profit figures were distorted, the profit analysis wouldn't be of a lot of worth.

STANDARD COST:
Under this methodology, all the transfers are valued at normal value. The variances from the quality value are ordinarily absorbed by the provision division. In bound cases variances from the quality value are transferred to the user division and so inventories are carried each by the provision and receiving division at normal value. Once the standards are properly set, operation of this technique is simple. But the responsibility of the profit performance is centralized. Profit performance of each division can't be measured.

COST AND A TRADITIONAL MARK-UP:

This type of transfer worth is AN improvement over the primary class. It includes, besides cost of production, some margin of profit or traditional mark- up. Thus, the value received/ paid by the marketing and the getting departments severally can contain part of profit. There are 2 ways that in which the traditional mark-up/profit margin is decided. First, the management of the organization set a target profit. As an alternative, the traditional mark-up could also be admire the margin of profit that a competing organization may moderately be expected to appreciate. If the second basis is adopted, the transfer valuation approximates a market price. Relying upon its closeness to the important market value, it's going to be helpful for the profit centre analysis. However if the idea is that the social control planned target, the mark-up is a synthetic margin set by policy. Therefore, its validity as performance measurement of a division/ divisional manager is receptive question. On the total, therefore, this type of transfer worth has no distinctive worth of its own for profit ‘centre analysis.

Incremental cost:

Another basis of transfer costs is that the differential cost of the marketing division. Relying upon the circumstances, differential cost is computed in 2 ways that. The primary state of affairs could also be such that the whole production of the marketing division is transferred to the sister divisions, and there aren't any independent outside customers for the products. Therein case, differential cost includes all variable plus any mounted prices directly and completely as a result of the inner transfer/internal divisional transfer. Transfer valuation at differential cost outlined during this sense is inconsistent with the objectives of measurement divisional profit as performance measure.

An alternative market state of affairs arises once there could also be outside customers for the products and also the division is unable to provide the complete demand (from the skin customers likewise as sister divisions). The differential cost to marketing division therein case would be the revenue lost on sales to outside customers be bypast to form the inner transfer to a sister division. Then, the differential cost would be value for those goods/services. This version of the differential cost knowledge can be useful for profit centre analysis. The differential cost knowledge associated with the inner production prices is not of a lot of worth.

Two-part tariff:

Under this variant, the marketing division transfers at cost (including any chance cost), but raises a set annual fee on the shopping for division for the privilege of receiving transfers at that price. The speculation underlying this approach is that profit maximization is going to be expedited within the shopping for division if it uses the acceptable cost in its calculations of optimum output levels. The fixed fee is intended to hide a share of the marketing division’s mounted prices and supply a comeback on the capital utilized in it, and therefore each marketing and shopping for divisions ought to be ready to record a profit on intra-company transfers.

(2) Market Price-based ways

Another approach to transfer valuation is that the value primarily based approach. There ar 3 ways to arrive at the value.

First, through the prevailing value if there's an energetic marketplace for the goods/services transferred between divisions. The prevailing worth would need adjustment for discounts likewise as for sure marketing value that aren't concerned in inter-divisional exchange. The deserves of this basis of transfer worth are:

(i) Market costs represent the alternatives to the divisions. That’s to mention, if the marketing division sells the outside customers, or if the shopping for division purchases the products from outside suppliers, the market price are going to be the idea.

(ii) They're neither absolute nor artificial; rather they mirror the collective values of patrons and sellers. In operational terms, a market price-based approach implies that the marketing division will receive adequate to what it might get by marketing the products to outside customers, while the purchasing division pays what it might pay to outside suppliers. Yet, each the marketing and the shopping for divisions would derive some special advantages. The benefits to the marketing division include:

(a) No risk of debt, and

(b) No direct promotional expenses on sale to a sister division.

The special advantage of the shopping for department are going to be within the variety of assured delivery schedules and full client service. Thus wherever divisions have the choice to buy/sell to/from the open market, they'd opt to purchase and sell to the sister division.
Secondly in .cases wherever simply known market costs aren't offered, prices and a traditional profit can be an inexpensive approximation of the value.
Finally in a very state of affairs, within which value isn't pronto offered, bids from many totally different manufacturers kind the idea. The low bid could also be taken because the value and used for internal transfer valuation. This different of value suffers from 2 limitations: either spurious bid would be submitted by the bidders, or bids won't be submitted. This is often doubtless as a result of the bidders would come to grasp that the firm won't purchase the products however can solely use the bid for internal valuation.

Market price less saving:

Under this methodology the goods/services transferred between divisions are priced on the bases of prevailing value less saving in variety of discounts, bound marketing expenses and direct promotional expenses that aren't concerned in inter-divisional transfer of products and services.

(3) Negotiated costs

The inter-divisional transfer valuation also can be supported a worth reciprocally prearranged by the shopping for as well because the marketing divisions through negotiation. The advantage of this approach is that it'll lead to a transfer worth reciprocally advantageous to each, the divisions likewise because the organization as a whole. The limitation is that it is applied solely in things within which the marketing division contains a choice of shoppers and also the getting division contains a selection of suppliers.

(4) Twin (two way) costs

Under this methodology of transfer valuation for phase performance analysis, the transferring division is attributable with one worth however the deed division is charged at totally different worth. The advantage of this method is that it eliminates the likelihood of conflict caused by one transfer worth within which case one phase receives comparatively less contribution of profit as a result of the value setting method entitles the phase to receive comparatively a lot of.
The price to be charged to the deed division ought to be supported what it prices the firm as a whole to provide and distribute the intermediate product internally beneath traditional conditions, the appropriate progressive prices in nonrecurring things, and full normal prices for semi-permanent continuous things. These costs mirror the effective value of the resources consumed by the firm and by the phase.
For this reason, this is often AN acceptable basis for evaluating the performance of the user of these resources. The transferring division, on the opposite hand, ought to receive the value for the intermediate product and if no value exists, a negotiated worth supported the value of the ultimate product ought to be used approximate net realizable worth of intermediate product.
This worth represents the simplest doable assessment of the exchange worth of the intermediate product.
There is an oversized quantity of documented sources on the transfer valuation policies utilized by firms all over the planet. These studies have documented numerous aspects of transfer valuation policies such as –
(a) Its role as AN overall element of coverage and system in firms
(b) The impact of transfer valuation on intra company conflicts, and

(c) Variations in transfer valuation policies across the planet.

A brief outline of transfer valuation practices is as follows:

(i) Firms tend to appear at transfer valuation not even as a mere accounting exercise, but as a tool in policy formulation towards accomplishment of company objectives.
(ii) Transfer valuation acts as a significant supply of political conflict at intervals the organization and this takes place in respect of the strategy used for this purpose; totally different ways might, however, increase or decrease the likelihood of conflict.
(iii) Companies, tend to use a spread of transfer valuation ways. However, the dominant among them ar the market costs or the ways primarily based modification of market costs.
(iv) International firms use acutely aware manipulation of transfer valuation as AN instrument of maximizing accomplishment of company goals. a particular example is that the transfer of make the most of subsidiaries to the parent firms or alternative firms within the cluster through the transfer pricing policies with reference to provide of capital instrumentality or input by international firms.

Criteria

In assessing a system of transfer valuation, 3 criteria have to be compelled to be, considered:
1) Neutrality: A system shouldn't distort the approach within which the business behaves; an impact system that reduces the potency of the method is clearly unsatisfactory.
2) Equity: A system shouldn't stop the divisions from coverage significant profit figures; the whole plan of divisional organization is debased if the system prevents this from happening.
3) Body convenience: A system shouldn't be therefore clumsy and valuable to work that it starts to value over the advantages it provides.

There is no legal or universally accepted definition of business restructuring. Within the context of this discussion, business restructuring is outlined because the cross-border re-disposition by a international
Enterprise (MNE) of functions, assets and/or risks. A business restructuring might involve cross-border transfers of valuable intangibles, though this is often not continuously the case. It's going to additionally or as an alternative involve the termination or substantial renegotiation of existing arrangements. Business restructurings that are within the scope of this discussion primarily accommodates internal reallocation of functions, assets and risks within AN MNE, though relationships with third parties (e.g. suppliers, sub-contractors, customers) might also be a reason for the restructuring and/or be tormented by it. Since the mid-90's, business restructurings have usually concerned the centralization of intangible assets and of risks with the profit potential connected to them. They need generally consisted of:

- Conversion of full-fledged distributors into limited-risk distributors or commissionaires for a remote associated enterprise that will operate as a principal,
- Conversion of full-fledged makers into contract-manufacturers or toll-manufacturers for a foreign associated enterprise that will operate as a principal,
- Transfers of intangible property rights to a central entity (e.g. a questionable "IP company") at intervals the group.

There also are business restructurings whereby a lot of intangibles and/or risks are allotted to operational entities (e.g. to makers or distributors). Business restructurings also can accommodates the rationalization, specialization

A peer reviewed and refereed international journal sponsored by Scholedge Scholarly Review Practices Committee and published by Scholedge Publishing Inc. The journal is hosted in Scholedge Digital Library®.

or de-specialization of operations (manufacturing sites and / or processes, analysis and development activities, sales, services), as well as the retrenchment or closing of operations. The arm's length principle and steerage here apply within the same thanks to all kinds of business restructuring transactions that fall at intervals the definition regardless of whether or not they result in a more centralized or less centralized business model.
Business restructurings are generally in the middle of a reallocation of profits among the members of the MNE cluster, either forthwith when the restructuring or over many years. The implementation of integrated business models and also the development of worldwide organisations, wherever they're done for valid industrial reasons, highlight the problem of reasoning within the arm's length theoretical environment that treats members of an MNE cluster as if they were freelance parties. There is certain abstract problem with applying the arm's length principle in observe.

Arm's length compensation for the restructuring itself

The determination of whether or not the conditions created or obligatory in a very business restructuring dealings are arm's length can typically be told by a similitude analysis, And specifically by an examination of the functions performed, assets used and risks assumed by the parties, likewise as of the written agreement terms, economic circumstances and business methods.
Where uncontrolled transactions that are doubtless like the restructuring transactions are known, the similitude analysis also will aim at assessing the responsibility of the comparison and, wherever required and doable, at decisive moderately correct similitude changes to eliminate the fabric effects of variations that will exist between the things being compared. It may be that comparable uncontrolled dealings for a restructuring transaction between associated enterprises aren't found. This doesn't of itself mean that the restructuring isn't arm's length price; however it's still necessary to ascertain whether or not it satisfies the arm's length principle. In such cases, determining whether or not freelance parties could be expected to possess united to constant conditions in comparable circumstances could also be usefully hip by a review of:

- The restructuring transactions and also the functions, assets and risks before and when the restructuring;
- The business reasons for and also the expected advantages from the restructuring, as well as the role of synergies;
- The choices realistically offered to the parties.

CONCLUSION

Whether or not at arm's length another party would are willing to indemnify the one that suffers from the termination or re-negotiation of the agreement. The transfer valuation analysis of the conditions of the termination or substantial renegotiation of an agreement ought to realize of each the views of the transferor and of the transferee.
Taking account of the transferee's perspective is vital each to worth the quantity of an arm's length indemnification, if any, and to see what party ought to bear it. It's unfeasible to derive a single declare all cases and also the response ought to be supported AN examination of the facts and circumstances of the case, and specifically of the rights and alternative assets of the parties, of the economic rationale for the termination, of the determination of what parties are expected to profit from
it, and of the choices realistically offered to the parties.

A peer reviewed and refereed international journal sponsored by Scholedge Scholarly Review Practices Committee and published by Scholedge Publishing Inc. The journal is hosted in Scholedge Digital Library®.

REFERENCES

I. Adler, R. (1996) Transfer pricing for world-class manufacturing, Long Range Planning, 29, 1: 69-75.

II. Anandarajan, Asokan, Mitch, McGhee, and Anthony P. Curatola. 2007. "A Guide to International Transfer Pricing." Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance 18.6.

III. Baldenius, Tim, Nahum D. Melumad, and Stefan Reichelstein. 2004. "Integrating Managerial and Tax Objectives in Transfer Pricing." The Accounting Review 79.3: 591-615.

IV. Buckley, P. J.; Casson, M. (1998) Models of the multinational enterprise, Journal of International Business Studies, 29, 1, 21-44.

V. Cats-Baril, W.; Gatti, J.; Grinnell, D. (1988) Transfer pricing in a dynamic environment, Management Accounting, LXIX, 8, 30-33.

VI. Colbert, G.; Spicer, B. (1995) A multi-case investigation of a theory of the transfer pricing process, Accounting, Organizations and Society, 20, 6, 423-456.

VII. Dorestani, Alireza. 2004. "Transfer price and equilibrium in multidivisional firms: an examination of divisional autonomy and central control." Applied Economics 36.17 , 899-906.

VIII. Hirshleifer, J. (1956) On the economics of transfer pricing, Journal of Business, 29, July, 172-184.

IX. Holmstrom, B.; Tirole, J. (1991) Transfer pricing and organizational form, Journal of Law, Economics and Organizations, 7, 201-288.

X. Spicer, B. (1988) Towards an organizational theory of the transfer pricing process, Accounting, Organizations and Society, 13, 3, 302-322.

XI. Tang, R. (2002) Current Trends and Corporate Cases in Transfer Pricing, Westport, Conn./London, Quorum Books.

A peer reviewed and refereed international journal sponsored by Scholedge Scholarly Review Practices Committee and published by Scholedge Publishing Inc. The journal is hosted in Scholedge Digital Library®.